### Virtual Machine Memory Overcommitment with UserfaultFD

KVM Forum | September 2024





#### 1. Background

#### 2. Current Challenges

#### 3. Design and Workflow

4. Results

#### 5. Future Work

Background



#### Memory Overcommitment

A hypervisor can allocate more guest-physical memory to virtual machines (VMs) than the available host-physical memory (RAM).

- Ballooning: A balloon driver inside the guest OS inflates to occupy more memory, which the hypervisor can then reclaim from VM.
- Hypervisor Swap: The hypervisor can swap memory pages from VMs to disk, freeing up RAM.



### Live Migration with Memory Overcommitment

- Transfer all dirty pages from source to destination
- Swapped out pages are faulted in and transferred to destination



# **Current Challenges**



### Live Migration Challenges

• Live migration touches all guest memory, causing page faults on swapped-out pages

- Page faults are costly
- Impact on live migration **data transfer throughput**
- Causes heavy page thrashing
- Slower data transfer give guest more time to dirty memory, creating a vicious cycle
  - Overall huge time to migrate VMs
- Live migration disturbs guest active working set
  - Faulted pages become MRU
  - Causes bad page reclamation decisions

#### Lack of control for user customizations

- Need full control over swapped out pages and choose where to place it
- Make it **lightweight** by removing bloat that we don't need
- Enable swap policies specific to each VM

### Swap Tiering

If we have control over swapped out pages, we can optimally utilize hierarchy of multiple swap devices.

- HDD, SATA SSD, NVMe SSD devices and temporary RAM read only caches
- Faster devices are costlier and have limited capacity
- Swap out warmer pages to faster devices



#### VM Address Space

# **Design and Workflow**



#### How UserfaultFD helps?

- Userspace memory manager
- What is userfaultFD?
  - Userspace page fault handler
  - Process waits until page fault is resolved by userspace
  - Supports async page fault for guests
  - No control over page swap out path

#### High Level Summary

- **Disable Native Swapping** for QEMU processes
- Introduce **common external service (Mem-controller)** 
  - Handles and manages memory for all QEMU processes
- QEMU uses **shared memory** allocated by the service.
- Communicates with QEMU over a **UNIX socket**
- Takes full control over the VM's address space using **userfaultfd** 
  - All swapping and page faults decisions are managed by mem-controller

#### Initial Setup



#### Swap Out



#### Swap In



#### Live Migration Overview

- Sending pages which are swapped out requires costly page faults.
  - Disturbs guest WSS and causes thrashing
- Pages on remote swap target can be **directly mapped on destination**
- Page faults on destination side still possible
  - Page data received from source is always the latest
  - Page faults can **mapped with zero page**, avoiding costly swapins
  - Keep source and destination **swap state in sync** by sending frequent hints

### Live Migration Workflow

Steps on Source:

- Mem-controller shares **page status map** with QEMU
- QEMU **skips transferring** swapped out pages
- QEMU sends **skipped pages details** to destination in final stage of live migration

Steps on Destination:

- QEMU updates skipped pages details to mem-controller
- Skipped pages faults are resolved from source target
- Skipped pages synced in background



# Results



### Throughput Comparison



Swap Ratio

VM Config - Memory: 12GB, 12vCPUs Workload Config: Synthetic, 10GB WSS, Single threaded

#### Throughput Comparison



VM Config: Memory: 12GB, 12vCPUs Workload Config: Synthetic, 10GB WSS, Single threaded

#### Real Workload: Redis



VM Config: Memory: 5GB, 4 vCPUs Swap Ratio: 0.5 Workload WSS : ~2.5GB

#### Real Workload: Kernel Compile



VM Config: Memory: 2GB, 4 vCPUs Swap Ratio: 0.5 Swap Backend: SATA SSD

#### Live Migration Gains



VM Config: Memory: 12GB, 12vCPUs Workload Config: 10GB WSS Single threaded Swap Backend: SATA SSD

#### Conclusion

- A light weight **userfaultFD based memory-controller** approach performs really well and gives **significant improvement** in memory overcommitted VM's runtime performance.
- UserfaultFD based approach is performing well but it **bottlenecks for superfast swap backends**.
  - Will explore reducing userfaultFD bottlenecks as future work
- Control over swapped out pages, helps in **avoiding page thrashing** during live migrations, hence **faster live migrations**.

## **Future Work**



#### Reduce UserfaultFD Latencies

UserfaultFD based approach doesn't scale for **super-fast swap devices** 

- UserfaultFD operation cost increases with number of **shared memory address spaces** 
  - Most userfaultFD operations are not completely synchronous, so can benefit from parallel swapper/faulter
  - Larger swap granularity (e.g. 16KB) significantly reduces average overheads
- Large latency due to **context switches** 
  - Frequent user/kernel transitions
  - Investigate on new approaches to handle and acknowledge events
  - Consider using iorings mapped into both kernel and userspace

#### Reduce UserfaultFD Latencies

- Avoid extra **memory copies** by UFFD\_COPY
- High latencies with MADV\_REMOVE or fallocate PUNCH\_HOLE
  - Most significant overhead
  - Exclusively locks memory address space, preventing parallel operations
  - Need further efforts to make it faster

#### Memory Balloon Hints

- Modifications on memory address not allowed by anything other than mem-controller.
  - Disturbs management and statistics managed by mem-controller.
- Ballooning is managed by QEMU, QEMU does following on balloon events.
  - Inflate Balloon: MADV\_REMOVE or MADV\_DONTNEED on pages.
  - **Deflate Balloon**: MADV\_WILLNEED on pages.
- Balloon events need to be managed by mem-controller process.
  - QEMU processes virtio-balloon rings and **sends events to mem-controller**
  - or **shares virtio rings** with mem-controller for direct processing.

# Thank You

