

# Running Kubevirt Workloads with No Additional Privileges

Luboslav Pivarc @ Red Hat



## What is coming?

- Kubernetes & Kubevirt crash course
- How is security enforced ?
- What is enforced ?
- Where did we start, where are we now and Where are we heading?



"Open-source system for automating deployment, scaling, and management of Containerized applications."



## How does the Kubernetes look like?





## Node perspective





### "KubeVirt is a Kubernetes extension that allows running traditional VM workloads natively side by side with Container workloads."



## **Kubevirt Integration**





## Node perspective





## How is security enforced?





## Security policies

- Restricted hardening best practices
- Privileged allows for known privilege escalations



## What is restricted?

- Capabilities
- Selinux/AppArmor
- Running as Root
- Privileged containers
- Seccomp
- Privilege Escalation (no\_new\_privs bit)
- HostPath volumes
- Host Ports



## Kubevirt

- Capabilities
- Selinux/AppArmor
- Running as Root
- Privileged containers
- Seccomp
- Privilege Escalation (no\_new\_privs bit)
- HostPath volumes
- Host Ports



## "That's one small step for security"

 First step was to have unprivileged networking



- We used NET\_ADMIN, NET\_RAW, NET\_BIND\_SERVICE because:

  - Pod gets IP address
    Containers gets Interface that requires configuration
  - Configuration of network requires privileges
  - Interface IP needs to be exposed to Guest (DHCP)



## Solution

- Offload network setup to privileged component (Virt-handler - privileged container)
- Requires Libvirt "Unmanaged" option
- Existing management tool is losing privileges
- NET\_BIND\_SERVICE stays around



## "As easy as setting user for workload & using Libvirtd in session mode"



## Running as any non-root user

- Security policies requires anyuid
- Pre-allocated ranges of uids
- Qemu processes can't read each others disk
- Filesystem permissions are set at build time of container images
- Modifying container FS at runtime can trigger copy



## Solution

- Use "EmptyDir" feature that is just tmpfs with relaxed permissions
- Manage the permissions by Kubevirt



## Storage for non-root user

- Filesystem/Block Volumes don't have standardized permissions
- Kubernetes provides feature "fsgroup"
  - Does not always work
  - Restricted by some policies





 Manage permissions with privileged component (Virt-handler - privileged container)



## Devices for non-root user

- Kubernetes expose devices through device plugins
- Devices are exposed with same permissions as on the host
  - This lead to inconsistencies depending on the setup
  - Not usable out-of-box for non-root users most of the time



## Solution

- Manage permissions with privileged component (Virt-handler - privileged container)
- Drawback is that we can only manage devices that we know



## Capabilities for non-root containers

#### Transformation of capabilities during execve()

During an execve(2), the kernel calculates the new capabilities of the process using the following algorithm:

P'(ambient)	= (file is privilege	ed) ? 0 : P(ambient)
P'(permitted)	= (P(inheritable) & (F(permitted) & P	F(inheritable))   (bounding))   P'(ambient)
P'(effective)	= F(effective) ? P'	(permitted) : P'(ambient)
P'(inheritable)	= P(inheritable)	[i.e., unchanged]
P'(bounding)	= P(bounding)	[i.e., unchanged]

where:

- F() denotes a file capability set



## Solution

- Non-root containers requires file capabilities on the executed binary
- Ambient capabilities are the future
  - They don't require changes to image
  - Keeps working with no\_new\_privs bit
  - Missing support in Kubernetes





"File capabilities require always requesting the capabilities for workload, disallowing opt-in approach"



## SELinux



#### Keep me enabled!



## What's left to do?

- Arbitrary user running workloads
  - How does user namespaces affect this?
- Remove custom SELinux policy
  - Upstream rules that makes sense for general container use cases
  - Use alternative API that are not requiring privileges
- Upstream support for Ambient capabilities
- Switch to "Restricted first" approach?





You can get in touch with Kubevirt

- Twitter <u>@kubevirt</u>
- Slack <u>kubevirt-dev</u>



