

## Towards a More Efficient Synchronization in KVM

KVM FORUM 2021 Wanpeng Li wanpengli@tencent.com



# Agenda

- Boost Preempted vCPU in user-mode
- vCPU stack by wake-affine
- RCU-Reader Preemption Problem



#### Synchronization based on "Busy-waiting"

- Unnecessary CPU consumption by busy-waiting for a descheduled vCPU
  - Significant performance degradation
- Semantic gap
  - OSes assume their vCPUs are dedicated as pCPUs





- Most smp\_call\_function\_many calls are synchronous, mainly TLB Flush and "Function Call interrupts"
- Both the lock holder and IPI target vCPU are yield candidates





Intel PLE occurs when the spinlock waiter is in kernel-mode

- > IPI receiver can be in either kernel or user mode.
- IPI receiver candidate in user-mode fails to be boosted
- Workloads like pbzip2 do the TLB shootdown in kernel-mode and most of the time they are running in user-mode.
  - It can lead to a large number of continuous PLE events
    - IPI sender causes PLE events repeatedly until the receiver is scheduled while the receiver is not candidate for a boost.



Let's boost the vCPU candidate in user-mode which is delivering interrupt

- Evaluation Environment
  - ► Hardware: Intel CLX, 2 socket, 48 cores, 96 HTs
  - ► VM: 96 vCPUs
  - Test case: pbzip2

    Wall Clock: seconds

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    10%

    <t





- Wake-affine is a feature inside scheduler which we attempt to make processes running closely, it gains benefit mostly from cache-hit.
- When qemu/other vCPU inject virtual interrupts to guest through waking up one sleeping vCPU, it increases the probability to stack vCPUs/qemu by scheduler wake-affine.

When:

1. waker is currently running on CPU X

2. wakee was last time running on CPU Y





A scheduler allows vCPUs to be scheduled on any pCPUs. This will cause the vCPU stacking problem that the lock waiter is scheduled before the lock holder on the same pCPU.





How often does scheduler stack vCPUs?

Run 4-vCPU VMs on 4-CPU physical machine

Run the CPU-bound workload inside the VMs

100% utilization on each vCPU

#VMs	≥ 2 vCPU siblings stacking on the same CPU
1	5.564%
2	43.127%
3	45.932%



- Let's disable wake-affine vCPU process to mitigate lock holder preemption
- Evaluation Environment
  - Hardware: Intel SKX, 2 sockets, 40 cores, 80 threads
  - VM: 80 vCPUs
  - Test case: ebizzy -M





#### **RCU-Reader Preemption**

RCU GPs cannot complete while a vCPU is preempted within an RCU read-side critical section. Guest OS invoking synchronize\_rcu() can incur latency spikes from several seconds on overcommitted hosts.





## **RCU-Reader Preemption**

Although calls to call\_rcu() continue to return immediately, their callbacks cannot be invoked.

Linux-Kernel code can therefore continuously invoke call\_rcu(), GP delay due to vCPU preemption can cause transient memory-footprint spikes, frequent transient memory-footprint spikes can scatter the kernel pages through the system, which can increase external memory fragmentation.



#### **RCU-Reader Preemption**

#### **Evaluation:** Postmark



Baseline

Overcommit

26.37× increase in max grace period duration 2.18× increase in the average grace period duration 2.9× increase in CPU consumed per grace period computation



## Reference

https://lore.kernel.org/kvm/1618542490-14756-1-git-send-emailwanpengli@tencent.com/

https://lore.kernel.org/kvm/1564479235-25074-1-git-send-emailwanpengli@tencent.com/



# Q/A?