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- Boost Preempted vCPU in user-mode
- vCPU stack by wake-affine
- RCU-Reader Preemption Problem
Synchronization based on “Busy-waiting”
  ▶ Unnecessary CPU consumption by busy-waiting for a descheduled vCPU
    ▶ Significant performance degradation
  ▶ Semantic gap
    ▶ OSes assume their vCPUs are dedicated as pCPUs
Boost Preempted vCPU in user-mode

- Most smp_call_function_many calls are synchronous, mainly TLB Flush and "Function Call interrupts"
- Both the lock holder and IPI target vCPU are yield candidates
Boost Preempted vCPU in user-mode

- Intel PLE occurs when the spinlock waiter is in kernel-mode
  - IPI receiver can be in either kernel or user mode.
  - IPI receiver candidate in user-mode fails to be boosted

- Workloads like pbzip2 do the TLB shootdown in kernel-mode and most of the time they are running in user-mode.
  - It can lead to a large number of continuous PLE events
    - IPI sender causes PLE events repeatedly until the receiver is scheduled while the receiver is not candidate for a boost.
Boost Preempted vCPU in user-mode

- Let's boost the vCPU candidate in user-mode which is delivering interrupt

Evaluation Environment

- Hardware: Intel CLX, 2 socket, 48 cores, 96 HTs
- VM: 96 vCPUs
- Test case: pbzip2

![Graph showing wall clock comparison between vanilla and boost modes]

- Wall Clock: seconds
  - Vanilla: 80 seconds
  - Boost: 70 seconds

The boost mode reduces the wall clock by 10%
vCPU stack by wake-affine

- Wake-affine is a feature inside scheduler which we attempt to make processes running closely, it gains benefit mostly from cache-hit.

- When qemu/other vCPU inject virtual interrupts to guest through waking up one sleeping vCPU, it increases the probability to stack vCPUs/qemu by scheduler wake-affine.

When:
1. waker is currently running on CPU X
2. wakee was last time running on CPU Y
vCPU stack by wake-affine

A scheduler allows vCPUs to be scheduled on any pCPUs. This will cause the vCPU stacking problem that the lock waiter is scheduled before the lock holder on the same pCPU.
vCPU stack by wake-affine

How often does scheduler stack vCPUs?
- Run 4-vCPU VMs on 4-CPU physical machine
- Run the CPU-bound workload inside the VMs
  - 100% utilization on each vCPU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#VMs</th>
<th>≥ 2 vCPU siblings stacking on the same CPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.564%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>43.127%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45.932%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vCPU stack by wake-affine

- Let's disable wake-affine vCPU process to mitigate lock holder preemption

**Evaluation Environment**
- Hardware: Intel SKX, 2 sockets, 40 cores, 80 threads
- VM: 80 vCPUs
- Test case: ebizzy -M

![Graph showing 17% improvement]
RCU-Reader Preemption

RCU GPs cannot complete while a vCPU is preempted within an RCU read-side critical section. Guest OS invoking synchronize_rcu() can incur latency spikes from several seconds on overcommitted hosts.
RCU-Reader Preemption

- Although calls to call_rcu() continue to return immediately, their callbacks cannot be invoked.
- Linux-Kernel code can therefore continuously invoke call_rcu(), GP delay due to vCPU preemption can cause transient memory-footprint spikes, frequent transient memory-footprint spikes can scatter the kernel pages through the system, which can increase external memory fragmentation.
RCU-Reader Preemption

Evaluation: Postmark

![Graphs showing memory usage and grace period duration comparison between Baseline and Overcommit configurations.]

26.37× increase in max grace period duration
2.18× increase in the average grace period duration
2.9× increase in CPU consumed per grace period computation
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